

Kernel Builds Deserve an Identity: Portable Kernel Artifacts and Traceable Test Results

Cong Wang, Founder and CEO, Multikernel Technologies, Inc.

Linux Plumbers Conference 2026

Thousands of Kernels a Day, None with a Name

"Boot failure on arm64, 6.12.0-rc3, defconfig, lab X". Which binary? Can I boot it?

Identifier	What it misses
<code>uname -r</code>	A string: <code>LOCALVERSION</code> makes it anything
Git commit	Source, not config, toolchain, or binary
CI build ID	Assigned by each CI, not derived from the binary

Userspace got OCI. Kernels ride along in OS images, with no identity of their own.

KBI in One Slide

The kernel as its own OCI image, versioned independently of userspace:

```
/kbi/vmlinuz  initrd  modules/<kver>/  firmware/  symvers  
kbi_id = "kbi:sha256:" + sha256(sort([  
    "vmlinuz:" + sha256(vmlinuz), "btf:" + ..., "config:" + ... ]))
```

- Derived from content at build, not assigned, signatures carry it
- Each artifact is a typed layer, no change to the OCI spec
- **Add-ons bind to an ID**: mismatches are refused at resolve

Current Status

- Go CLI, with subcommands: build, push, pull, install, sign, verify
- About 5,800 lines including tests; cosign-compatible signatures with threshold policies
- Runs on bare metal (install, then your bootloader) and in VM or image builds

Honest gaps:

- No measured boot; resolve does not re-check module contents
- No deep BPF verification: kfunc signatures, CO-RE relocations
- **No CI system emits KBI images today. That is why we are here**

Q1: Where Is the Line for the ID?

Today the ID hashes `vmlinux`, BTF, and config. Modules, initrd, and firmware are left out.

- The same `vmlinux` with and without BTF gets two IDs
- Bundled modules are checked against `--kver`, not hashed
- A firmware refresh does not change the kernel's identity

Should BTF and config be in? Should modules? What is the one question the ID answers?

Q2: Artifact Identity or Recipe Identity?

The ID hashes the binary. Two builds match only if the build is reproducible.

- **Artifact identity**: what booted. Verifiable, fragile across rebuilds
- **Recipe identity**: tree + config + toolchain. Stable, unverifiable from the binary
- **Both**, with the recipe recorded as metadata on the artifact

Which one does a regression report need?

Can we ask CI for reproducible builds?

Q3: Could CI Emit Bundles?

- **Tuxmake**: output a KBI image alongside today's artifacts
- **KernelCI Maestro**: push bundles to a registry
- **KCIDB**: add a verifiable build identity field

Then a regression report becomes a `kbi pull`, not a description.

What blocks each of these? Who hosts the registry, and for how long?

Q4: How Far Toward a Kernel SBOM?

Traceability needs more than "which binary":

- **Source:** commit, patches, tree
- **Build:** config, toolchain, flags
- **Contents:** modules, firmware licenses, BPF objects

Where should it stop? SPDX, CycloneDX, or both?

Inside the OCI image, or beside it?

Q5: Who Signs a CI Kernel?

`kbi verify` supports threshold policies, so a kernel can require more than one signature.

- **The CI** that built it: proves where it came from
- **The maintainer** whose tree it is: proves it is wanted
- **The lab** that tested it: proves it booted

Which of these matter downstream?

Without measured boot, what does a signature buy?

Q6: Where Should Pack Verification Live?

Today KBI checks modules against `Module.symvers`. BPF packs declare the kfuncs they need.

- **In KBI**: reimplement what the loader and verifier check
- **In libbpf and kmod**: expose an offline "would this load?" mode
- **In the kernel build**: emit the metadata a checker needs

Is an offline check worth having, and who should own it?

Q7: Bare Metal CI with Multikernel

Today: reboot, flash, wait. With multikernel:

- Host kernel stays up and runs the lab
- `kerf` spawns a test kernel, pulled by KBI ID, on spare cores
- It crashes alone; results are tagged with its KBI ID
- Many test kernels, one machine, in parallel

Not wired up yet. **Is this the lab you want? What would it fail to catch?**

Further Discussion

Contact: cwang@multikernel.io

Code: github.com/multikernel/kbi

Mailing list: multikernel@lists.linux.dev